A G E N D A

Local Government Resilience Toolkit Advisory Committee

Meeting Notes
Tuesday, September 9, 2014
ABAG Conference Room B

3:00 - 4:30pm

Jeff Bond, City of Albany	Renee Domingo, City of Oakland (call-in)
Hillary Gitelman, City of Palo Alto	Janiele Maffei, California Earthquake Authority (call-in)
Diane Dillon, Napa County (call-in)	Laurence Kornfield, City of San Francisco (call-in)
Dana Brechwald, ABAG	

1. Project background/overview
   a. Considerations
      i. *Ensure that the toolkit contains more than just ordinances, but also contains procedures and guidance (for example for enforcement of existing policies that aren't working well)*
      ii. *Should consider expanding the scope to information about what other jurisdictions are doing to coordinate facilitation and partnerships (for example coordination on soft story issues between Oakland, San Francisco, and Berkeley)*
2. Identify priorities for project outcome
   a. Survey/baseline
      i. *It would be great to have a comprehensive understanding of the efforts taking place across the region around seismic resilience*
   b. Comprehensive database
      i. *Many jurisdictions don't have in-house staff to interpret codes and ordinance language, especially when it involves seismic engineering policies. Having just a database with no interpretation is not helpful if you're not able to interpret what you're reading.*

A G E N D A

    c. In-depth implementation assistance for 3-5 tools

        i. *There's worry that choosing only 3 ordinances will highlight only the big 3 cities. Should include policies that are applicable at multiple scales and relatable to all jurisdictions. Scale lessons learned for small, medium, and large cities.*

        ii. *There's some suspicion of ABAG at some jurisdictions, so having ABAG staff come in to assist city staff may not be well received. Interaction should be considered.*

            1. *ABAG could provide a well-researched packet with ordinances, analysis, and case studies and then be on hand to answer questions from jurisdictions*

        iii. *It would be helpful to find commonalities between policies and draft some high-level lessons learned*

    d. Level of guidance

        i. *It would be most helpful to see existing ordinances with analysis as well as model ordinances that take into account lessons learned*

3. Identify areas of study

    a. Housing mitigation

        i. *Some jurisdictions will want to focus largely on housing mitigation*

    b. Recovery

        i. *Recovery guidance is really important – Napa has identified lessons learned from the recent earthquake on things they could have done differently or they hadn't anticipated*

4. Identify desired products

    a. Policy analysis and guidance

        i. *Consider using social media to disseminate information*

        ii. *Consider sending out quarterly updates via email with resilience actions happening around the region*

        iii. *Consider annual technical assistance clinic for working through policy issues with staff*

    b. Templates for data gathering

AGENDA

i. *People won'Consider reaching out to individuals and groups to gather data, such as BAPDA, League of Cities, etc. or make direct calls to targeted individuals*